



Flow vs Head Comparison of Tesla Disc Pump and Discflo Type Pump

Infinity Turbine
LLC

[TEL] +1-608-238-6001 (Chicago

[Email] greg@infinityturbine.com

<https://www.infinityturbine.com/flow-vs-head-comparison-for-tesla-disc-pump-vs-discflo-type-pump-for-gold-mining-operations-by-infinity-turbine.html>

Flow vs Head Comparison of Tesla Disc Pump and Discflo
Type Pump



This webpage QR code

PDF Version of the webpage (maximum 10 pages)

Flow vs Head Comparison of Tesla Disc Pump and Discflo Type Pump

Below is a quantitative, engineering-style comparison of expected (modeled) flow vs head performance for:

1. a Tesla-style disc pump similar to your prior design assumptions (12 inch disc class, 1.0 mm gap, mining-water configuration), and
2. a Discflo-type Discpac pump (disc stack with engineered spacing and optional high head / ribbed disc features).

Important boundary condition: Discflo publishes very broad capability ranges and configurable Discpac geometry, including disc diameters (8 to 20 inch), disc counts (2 to 20), and ribbed High Head discs options. ([Discflo][1]) Their catalog also describes very high flow and head ranges for larger/multistage units (flows up to 8,000 gpm and heads up to 1,200 ft), which are not representative of a 10 HP skid but do confirm the design family's scaling envelope. ([Discflo][2])

Because neither you nor Discflo provided a specific manufacturer pump curve for the exact model/impeller/disc pack, the curves below are modeled expected field curves that are internally consistent with:

- 10 HP hydraulic power limits,
- disc pump behavior (slip increases with flow; head falls with flow),
- and the Discflo design intent (optimized disc spacing, optional high-head discs, and better stability in tough fluids). ([Discflo][3])

Fluids modeled

Fluid A: Wash-plant water

- Viscosity: 1.2 cP
- Specific gravity: 1.03 (lightly dirty water)

Fluid B: Dirty water slurry

- Viscosity: 5 cP (water + fines, not a thick paste)
- Specific gravity: 1.15 (heavier solids loading)

These are realistic wash plant numbers (not extreme 40%+ solids paste).

Pump configurations compared

Tesla-style disc pump (your prior architecture)

- Disc pack: 12 inch class, 1.0 mm gap, mining-water clearances
- Intended duty: high flow, low-to-moderate head wash water
- Note: with 1.0 mm spacing, clean-water viscous coupling is weaker than tight-gap designs, but solids tolerance improves (your earlier tradeoff).

Discflo-type Discpac pump (typical configuration concept)

- Discpac engineered for the fluid: disc spacing and disc count tuned, with optional ribbed High Head discs where needed. ([Discflo][1])
- Discflo indicates these pumps can be configured to handle harsh fluids (high solids, viscosity, entrained gas) and remain stable. ([Discflo][3])

Modeled performance curves (10 HP class)

Interpretation guide:

- Head is shown in ft and meters
- Pressure is shown as differential pressure, derived from head and the fluid's SG

1) Tesla-style disc pump — wash water (SG 1.03, 1.2 cP)

Assumed curve anchor points: shutoff head about 80 ft, max flow about 650 gpm (low head). This aligns with a high-flow wash-water duty band.

Flow (gpm) | Flow (m³/h) | Head (ft) | Head (m) | ΔP (psi) | ΔP (bar)

- 0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 24.4 | 35.7 | 2.46
- 162 | 36.9 | 75.0 | 22.9 | 33.4 | 2.31
